

FAIR self assessment for project: TD34, Improvements in standardisation of storage and representation of glycomics data collections

Completed .././2019

Questions for each FAIR component ↓		Answer options: Increasingly FAIR -->				
FINDABLE						
Q1 A1	Does the dataset have any identifiers assigned?	No identifier	Local identifier	Web address (URL)	Globally unique, citable and persistent identifier (e.g. DOI, PURL, or Handle)	
	Start of project					
	End of project		Yes	http://www.unicarbkb.org	10.1021/acs.jproteome.6b00738	
	Two years time					
Q2 A2	Is the identifier included in all metadata records or metadata files describing the data?	No	Yes			
	Start of project					
	End of project		<i>Inter identifier available in metadata records</i>			
	Two years time					
Q3 A3	Is the data described by a metadata record?	The data is not described	Brief title and description	Brief title and description, and multiple other fields filled out, albeit briefly.	Comprehensively (a min metadata template will be provided) using a formal machine-readable metadata schema.	
	Start of project					
	End of project		Site-Specific N-Glycosylation of Endothelial Cell Receptor Tyrosine Kinase VEGFR-2.	Site-Specific N-Glycosylation of Endothelial Cell Receptor Tyrosine Kinase VEGFR-2.	<i>Metadata adheres to MIRAGE separation guidelines</i>	
	Two years time					
Q4 A4	What type of repository or registry is the metadata record in?	The data is not described in any registry or repository	Local institutional repository	Domain-specific repository	Generalist public repository	Data is in one place but discoverable through several places (i.e. other registries, RDA, Google Data Search)
	Start of project					
	End of project			UniCarbKB		
	Two years time					
ACCESSIBLE						
Q5 A5	How accessible is the data? Note: The access method(s) must be explicitly stated in the metadata record, e.g. if any authentication is needed, or there are any restrictions to access.	No metadata record	Access to metadata only	Unspecified access conditions e.g. "contact the data custodian to discuss access"	Embargoed access after a specified date; or A deidentified version of the data is publicly accessible	Fully accessible public, or to persons who meet and follow explicitly stated conditions and processes, e.g. ethics approval for sensitive data
	Start of project					
	End of project					<i>Fully accessible.</i>
	Two years time					
Q6 A6	Is the data available online without requiring specialised protocols or tools once access has been approved?	No access to data	By individual arrangement	File download from online location	Non-standard web service (e.g. OpenAPI/Swagger/informal API)	Standard web service API (e.g. OGC)
	Start of project					
	End of project			<i>RDF TTL, CSV</i>		
	Two years time					<i>UniCarbKB SPARQL endpoint</i>
Q7 A7	Does the repository/registry agree to maintain the persistence of the metadata record, even if the data product is no longer available?	No (or not applicable, if no metadata record exists)	Unsure	Yes		
	Start of project					
	End of project			<i>Yes. Community driven effort</i>		
	Two years time					
INTEROPERABLE						
Q8 A8	Are the data available in (an) open (file) format(s)?	Data are mostly available only in a proprietary format	Data are available in an open format	Data are available in an open, documented, widely-used standard format (i.e. NetCDF, CSV, JSON, XML, etc)		
	Start of project			<i>CSV, JSON and RDF</i>		

	End of project					
	Two years time					
Q9	Are the data machine readable?	The data are unstructured	The data are structured and machine-readable (i.e. csv, JSON, XML, RDF, database files, etc)			
A9	Start of project		<i>JSON and RDF</i>			
	End of project					
	Two years time					
Q10	What best describes the types of vocabularies/ontologies/tagging schemas used to define the data elements?	Data elements are not described (i.e. fields or objects are labelled with codes or not at all)	Data elements are described (so that a human user can correctly interpret the data), but no standards have been used in the description	Recognised standards have been used in the description of data elements, but no published vocabularies with resolvable URIs are used	Published vocabularies using resolvable identifiers linking to explanations are used, so that the data can be read and understood by machines as well as humans.	Published vocabularies using persistent resolvable identifiers linking to explanations are used, so that the data can be read and understood by machines as well as humans.
A10	Start of project					
	End of project					GlycoCoo and GlycoRDF
	Two years time					
Q11	How is the relationship to other data and resources (e.g. related datasets, services, publications, etc) described in the metadata, to provide context around the data?	There are no links to other metadata or data	The metadata record includes URI links to related metadata, data and definitions	Qualified links to other resources are recorded in a machine readable format, e.g. a linked data format such as RDF		
A11	Start of project		<i>Metadata record has a link to README file with methodology</i>	Includes onotology link with UniProt		
	End of project					
	Two years time					
REUSABLE						
Q12	Which of the following best describes the license (usage rights) attached to the data?	No license is applied	Non-standard license applied, without a license deed URL encoded in a machine-readable format (e.g. RDF/XML) in the metadata record	Non-standard license applied, WITH the license deed URL encoded in a machine-readable format (e.g. RDF/XML) in the metadata record	Standard license applied (e.g. Creative Commons), without a license deed URL encoded in a machine-readable format (e.g. RDF/XML) in the metadata record	Standard license applied (e.g. Creative Commons), WITH the license deed URL encoded in a machine-readable format (e.g. RDF/XML) in the metadata record
A12	Start of project				<i>CC-BY licence applied</i>	
	End of project					
	Two years time					
Q13	How much provenance information has been captured to facilitate data reuse? i.e. project objectives, data generation/collection (including from external sources) and processing workflows.	No provenance information is recorded	Partially recorded	Comprehensively recorded in a text format (i.e. TXT or PDF)	Comprehensively recorded in a machine readable format (i.e. in metadata record's schema or PROV, or in RDF, JSON, NetCDF, XML, etc)	
A13	Start of project					
	End of project				JSON, CSV and RDF	
	Two years time					